Color octet neutrino as the source of the IceCube *PeV* energy neutrino events

A. N. Akay[1], U. Kaya[1], S. Sultansoy[1,2]

[1]*TOBB Univesity of Economics and Technology, Ankara, Turkey*

[2]*ANAS Institute of Physics, Baku, Azerbaijan*

February 12, 2014

arXiv:1402.1681v2 [hep-ph] 11 Feb 2014

Abstract

It is shown that two PeV events observed by the IceCube collaboration can be interpreted as resonance production of color octet neutrinos.

Recent observation of two *PeV* energy events by the IceCube collaboration [1] may be and indication of new physics at *TeV* scale. A number of candidates for origin of these events have been considered, for example, resonance production of leptoquarks [2], *PeV*-scale decaying dark matter [3, 4] and so on. In this letter we argue that observed events can be interpreted as the first manifestation of color octet neutrinos. Corresponding Feynman diagram is presented in Fig. 1.



Figure 1: Resonant ν_8 production in the ultra high energy neutrino nucleon scattering.

Color octet neutrinos and leptons, as well as color sextet (decuplet etc) quarks are predicted by preonic models with colored preons [5-8]. Recently, color octet leptons have been came to forefront again [9-13]. It should be noted that concerning preon models color octet neutrinos has the same status as color octet leptons, and the status of both of them is similar to exited leptons and neutrinos, which are widely investigated in HEP experiments.

There are two strong arguments favoring preon models: inflation of "fundamental" particles and free parameters in the SM (other BSM models, including SUSY, drastically increase the number of free parameters) and mixing of "fundamental" quarks and leptons. The first one, namely "inflation", historically results in discovery of new level of matter two times during the last century: periodical table of chemical elements was clarified by Rutherford experiment, inflation of hadrons results in quark model (see Table 1 from [14]).

According to PDG [15] current exclusion limit for color octet neutrino is $M_{\nu_8} > 110 GeV$ assuming $\nu_8 \to \nu + g$ decay. This value is obtained from Tevatron data, rough estimations show that M_{ν_8} below $400\,GeV$ could be excluded by current LHC data.

The interaction lagrangian for color octet neutrinos is given by

$$L = \frac{1}{2\Lambda}\sum_l \{\overline{\nu}_8 g_s G^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu}(\eta_L \nu_L + \eta_R \nu_R) + h.c.\}.$$

Here, Λ is compositeness scale, $G^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$ is field strength tensor for gluon, index $\alpha = 1,2,..,8$ denotes the color, g_s is the gauge coupling, η_L and η_R are the chirality factors, ν_L and ν_R denote left and right spinor components of neutrino, $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ is the antisymmetric tensor. According to neutrino chirality conservation, $\eta_L\eta_R = 0$. We set $\eta_L = 1$, $\eta_R = 0$ in this analysis. In this case the decay width of ν_8 can be written as

$$\Gamma_{\nu_8} = \frac{\alpha_s(M_{\nu_8})M^3_{\nu_8}}{4\Lambda^2}.$$

In Fig.2 we present decay width depend on ν_8 mass for $\Lambda = 10\,TeV$. Let us mention that decay width is proportional to Λ^{-2}.



Figure 2: Decay width for diffrent ν_8 masses.

In differ from LQ interpretation [2] where two decay channels $\nu_\tau + q \to LQ \to \tau + q$ and $\nu_\tau + q \to LQ \to \nu_\tau + q$ take place, in ν_8 interpretation we deal with $\nu_8 \to \nu + g$ only. In [2] $\nu_\tau + q \to LQ \to \tau + q$ is considered as a source fo two PeV energy IceCube events. In this case approximately $1/6$ of energy is missed due to ν_τ from τ decays. For this reason the energy of cosmic neutrinos is taken between $1-2\,PeV$. In our case half of energy is missed due to neutrinos from ν_8 decays. Therefore we chose $1-4\,PeV$ energy region for cosmic neutrinos resulting in two IceCube PeV events.

In order to perform numerical calculations we implement this lagrangian into the CalcHEP software [16]. In Figure 3 we present ν_8 production cross-section as a function of incoming cosmic neutrino energy for different ν_8 mass values. For numerical calculations we use $\Lambda = 10\,TeV$ together with $CTEQ6L$ parton distributions. It should be noted that cross-section is proportional to Λ^{-2}.

The event distribution dN/dE_ν is shown in Figure 4. For the neutrino flux, following [2], we use

$$\Phi_\nu^{A-W} = \Phi_0 \left(\frac{E_\nu}{1\,GeV}\right)^{-2.3},$$

$$\Phi_0 = 6.62 \times 10^{-7}/GeV/cm^2/s/sr$$

for each neutrino type (ν_e, ν_μ and ν_τ). Within LQ interpretation only ν_τ is the source of IceCube events. In ν_8 interpretation there are three possible sources namely ν_{e_8}, $\nu_{\mu_8}$and ν_{τ_8}. Real picture depends on ν_8 mass hierarchy. Below we consider a scenario where one of the color octet neutrinos is lighter than other two (in degenerate case corresponding cross-sections should be multiplied by factor 3).

The expected event number at IceCube is estimated using [2]

$$N = nt\Omega \int dE_\nu \sigma_{\nu_8} |Br| \Phi_\nu^{A-W}(E_\nu),$$

where $t = 662$ days is the time of exposure, $n = 6 \times 10^{38}$ is the effective target nucleons number in IceCube and $\Omega = 4\pi$.

The IceCube experiment has observed 2 shower events with energies $1.05\,PeV$ and $1.15\,PeV$. There are no events between $0.3-1\,PeV$ and above $2\,PeV$. In Table 1 we present the number of events for different ranges of cosmic ray neutrino energies. The values of Λ are scaled in order to handle 2 events in $E_\nu = 1-4\,PeV$ region (let us remind that half of energy is missed due to neutrino from ν_8 decay.). For comparison in Table 2 we present the numbers of LQ events rates from [2] rescaled in the same manner. It is seen that both LQ and ν_8 interpretations predict a few events below $1\,PeV$ and most of them lay in $0.3-1\,PeV$ region (see Fig.4 in this paper and Fig.3 from [2]). Within ν_8 interpretation these events can be eliminated increasing ν_8 mass, which is not a case for LQ interpretation.



Figure 3: ν_8 production cross section in νN scattering.



Figure 4: Event rate distribution dN/dE_ν, from the ν_8 cross-section convoluted with the $A-W$ flux Φ_ν^{A-W}.

Table 1: Event numbers for diffent values of ν_8 mass and compositeness scale.

$M_{\nu_8}(GeV)$	$\lambda(TeV)$	$<1\,PeV$	$1-4\,PeV$	$>4\,PeV$
400	42.1	1.86	2.00	0.86
500	31.7	1.12	2.00	1.01
600	24.2	0.68	2.00	1.19
700	18.7	0.41	2.00	1.41
800	14.5	0.24	2.00	1.70

Table 2: Re-scaled event numbers from [2].

$M_{LQ}(GeV)$	f_L	$< 1\,PeV$	$1-2\,PeV$	$> 2\,PeV$
500	0.93	7.1	2.0	1.6
600	1.2	3.7	2.0	1.6

In conclusion, the IceCube PeV energy neutrino events can be interpreted as the resonant production of color octet neutrinos with a mass around $0.5\,TeV$. Correctness of this interpretation may be checked in near future using forthcoming IceCube and LHC data.

References

[1] M. G. Aarsten et al. [IceCube Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 021103 (2013) [arXiv:1304.5356 [astroph.HE]].

[2] V. Barger and W. Y. Keung, Phys. Lett. B 727, 190 (2013) [arXiv:1305.6907 [hep-ph]].

[3] A. Esmaili and P. D. Serpico, JCAP 1311, 054 (2013) [arXiv:1308.1105 [hep-ph]].

[4] B. Feldstein, A. Kusenko, S. Matsumoto and T. T. Yanagida, Phys. Rev. D 88, 015004 (2013) [arXiv:1303.7320 [hep-ph]].

[5] I.A D'Souza, C. S. Kalman, PREONS: Models of Leptons, Quarks and Gauge Bosons as Composite Object, World Scientific Publishing Co., (1992).

[6] A. Celikel and M. Kantar, Turk. J. Phys. 22, 401 (1998).

[7] J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. D 56, 5709 (1997).

[8] A. Celikel, M. Kantar, and S. Sultansoy, Phys. Lett. B 443, 359 (1998).

[9] M. Sahin, S. Sultansoy, and S. Turkoz, Phys. Lett. B 689, 172 (2010).

[10] A. N. Akay, H. Karadeniz, M. Sahin, and S. Sultansoy, Europhys. Lett. 95, 31001 (2011).

[11] T. Mandal and S. Mitra, Phys. Rev. D 87, 095008 (2013).

[12] D. G. Netto, D. L. Val, K. Mawatari, I. Wigmore, and T. Plehn, Phys. Rev. D 87, 094023 (2013).

[13] S. Chatrhyan et al. (CMS Colloboration), Phys. Rev. D 86, 052013 (2012).

[14] M. Sahin, S. Sultansoy, S. Turkoz, Phys. Rev. D 83, 054022 (2011).

[15] J. Beringer et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D 86, 010001 (2012).

[16] A. Belyaev, N.D. Christensen, A. Pukhov, Comput. Phys. Commun. 184, 1729 (2013).